

## UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat nt and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 0789777550 RUSSELL 13/20/98 09/197,056

HM12/6419

KATHLEEN M WILLIAMS BANNER AND WITCOFF LTD 28TH FLOOR 28 STATE STREET BOSTON MA 02109

 $\Gamma$ 

EXAMINER 451.500 F PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

DATE MAILED:

14.23

02/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

PTO-90C (Rev.11/00)

Application No.

Applicaitt(s)

09/197,056

Russell et al.

Interview Summary

Grou

Examiner

Wilson, Michael C.

up Art Unit	l
1633	l
	ı



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Wilson, Michael C. (3) Cathy Williams
(2) Mark Fitzgerald (4)
Date of Interview Apr 17, 2001
Type:   ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes  No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed:  all; 1 and 4-9 in particular
Identification of prior art discussed: Shockett, Hoffmann
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to it all agreement web very recommended to provide specific Enablement issues regarding in vivo embodiments were discussed. Applicants are recommended to provide specific Enablement issues regarding in vivo embodiments were discussed. Applicants are recommended to provide specific Enablement issues regarding in vivo embodiments were discussed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to regulate expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to require expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters required to require expression of guidance known in the art or disclosed in the specification that teaches the parameters r
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  1.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE UNITERAL OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.  2.   Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.  WILSON, MICHAEL PATENT EXAMINE
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.  ART UNIT 1633